

A CLASS OF DEGENERATE STOCHASTIC DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH NON-LIPSCHITZ COEFFICIENTS

K. SURESH KUMAR

ABSTRACT. We obtain sufficient condition for SDEs to evolve in the positive orthant. We use comparison theorem arguments to achieve this. As a result we prove the existence of a unique strong solution for a class of multidimensional degenerate SDEs with non-Lipschitz diffusion coefficients.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this article we consider (possibly degenerate) stochastic differential equations (SDEs) with non-Lipschitz coefficients. If the coefficients are Lipschitz, we can prove the existence of a unique strong solution (see [9]). But uniqueness fails in the case of non-Lipschitz coefficients. The literature on this topic is not very extensive. However, in one dimensional case, there is an extensive literature (see [3]).

If the coefficients are continuous, then weak solution exists upto an explosion time (see [9], pp. 155 - 163). Under additional linear growth conditions, weak solution exists for all time. In the case of non-degenerate diffusion coefficient, Stroock and Varadhan proved the existence of a unique weak solution for SDE with bounded and measurable drift and with bounded and continuous diffusion coefficient (see [11] Theorem 4.2, 5.6). Krylov [8] relaxed the continuity assumption on diffusion coefficient and proved that there is a unique weak solution for $n \leq 2$, and for $n > 2$, the sde has a weak solution. Engelbert and Schmidt studied the sde in one dimensional case and formulated necessary and sufficient conditions to prove the existence of unique weak solution (see [3] for details).

The existence of a unique strong solution is known when the coefficients are locally Lipschitz continuous with linear growth. If the drift coefficient is bounded, measurable and diffusion coefficient is Lipschitz continuous and non-degenerate, then existence of a unique strong solution is known (see [15] for the one dimensional case and [13] for the multidimensional case). Zvokin in [15], proved the result if the diffusion coefficient is Holder continuous with exponent $\frac{1}{2}$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60H10, 60G17 .

Key words and phrases. Degenerate SDEs, non-Lipschitz coefficients, comparison theorems.

To the best of our knowledge, the above results are not known in the multidimensional case. However there are some partial results. Swart in [12] proved the pathwise uniqueness of an SDE evolving in unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with diffusion coefficient locally Lipschitz in the interior of the unit ball and Holder continuous with exponent $\frac{1}{2}$ on the boundary. Fang and Zhang in [5] studied the existence of unique strong solution in the case when the Lipschitzian assumption on the coefficients are relaxed by a logarithmic factor. This still does not give results for the Holder continuous class, analogous to the one dimensional case. The technique used in [5] does not seem to be working with Holder continuous coefficients. In this article we attempt to study the SDE with Holder continuous coefficients. Our approach is to use comparison type arguments. To the best of our knowledge, this approach is not used to prove the existence of strong solutions in multi-dimensional case. We now briefly discuss the content of our article.

Consider the SDE

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} dX(t) &= \mu(X(t)) dt + \sigma(X(t)) dW(t) \\ X(0) &= x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n, \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbb{R}_+^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_i > 0, \forall i\}$, the positive orthant. Using comparison technique, we obtain sufficient condition for any solution $X(\cdot)$ of (1.1) to evolve in the positive orthant, $\mathbb{R}_+^n$. As a corollary, we obtain the existence of a unique strong solution to (1.1) under local Lipschitz assumptions in $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ on the coefficients of the SDE. As an example we establish the existence of a unique strong solution to the SDE

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} dX_i(t) &= \mu_i(X(t)) dt + \sqrt{|X_i(t)|} \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_{ij}(X(t)) dW_j(t) \\ X(0) &= x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n, i = 1, \dots, n. \end{cases}$$

The SDE (1.2) is studied in [1, 2] in connection with super-Markov chains. These authors have proved the existence of a unique weak solution and left the existence of a unique strong solution as an open problem. In this article, we are able to give an answer to this problem.

The equation (1.2) can also be seen as a multidimensional version of CIR model in mathematical finance. The solution of sde (1.1) or in particular (1.2) remaining in positive orthant have a great significance in finance. In [4], the authors studied the positivity of a class of one dimensional SDEs and obtain the nonarbitrage nature of the SDE. Typically the price movements of a collection of assets (particularly equities) are modeled by certain SDEs. The solutions of such SDEs must evolve in the positive orthant. Indeed if the asset prices are allowed to hit zero, the model creates arbitrage possibility. It may be emphasized that in the mathematical finance literature, effectively there is only one class of models which captures the above positivity phenomenon, viz., the multidimensional version of the Black-Scholes model and its nonlinear versions. Note that for modeling short rates, there exists a variant of Bessel processes, the so called CIR model for short term interest rates. Multidimensional version of CIR model has not been studied

in the mathematical finance literature. A possible reason may be the non-availability of a sufficient condition that ensures the evolution of the process given by the multidimensional CIR model in the positive orthant.

We also show that our comparison technique can be used for other classes of SDEs by applying to an example. More precisely we consider the SDE

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{cases} dX(t) &= c(\theta - X(t))dt + \sqrt{2(1 - \|X(t)\|^2)} dW(t) \\ X(0) &= x \in B(0, 1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases}$$

where $B(0, 1) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x\| < 1\}$. We show that under the assumption $c(1 - \sqrt{n}|\theta|) \geq 2$, the SDE (1.3) has a unique strong solution evolving in $B(0, 1)$. The SDE (1.3) is studied by Swart in [12] for the case $\theta = 0$. The method used in [12] doesn't seem to work for $\theta \neq 0$.

Our paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we prove the existence of a unique strong solution to the SDE (2.1) under the assumption (A1). Section 3 contains a study of SDE in positive orthant. We apply our main result in Section 3 to study a multidimensional variant of Bessel process in Section 4. We apply our technique developed in Section 3 to study an SDE in unit Ball in Section 5. We conclude our article with some conclusions.

2. AN AUXILIARY RESULT

Consider the (possibly) degenerate SDE

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} dX(t) = \mu(X(t))dt + \sigma(X(t))dW(t) \\ X(0) = x \in \mathbb{R}^n \end{cases}$$

where $\mu : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $W(\cdot)$ is an $\mathbb{R}^n$ -valued Wiener process. In this section, we study the existence and uniqueness of a strong solution to the SDE (2.1). We prove this under the following assumptions:

- (A1)(i)** The function μ is Lipschitz continuous on compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$.
(ii) There exists $\epsilon > 0$, a strictly increasing function $\rho : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\rho(0) = 0$ and $\int_0^t \frac{1}{\rho^2(s)} ds = \infty$ such that

$$|\sigma_{ij}(x) - \sigma_{ij}(y)| \leq C_R \rho(|x_i - y_i|),$$

for some $C_R > 0$ and for all $x, y \in B(0, R)$ such that $\|x - y\| \leq \epsilon$.

- (iii)** The functions μ and σ have linear growth.

Following [[9], p. 182-183], we introduce some notation. Define $\phi_k : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

Let $1 = s_0 > s_1 > s_2 > \dots > s_k > \dots > 0$ be such that

$$\int_{s_k}^{s_{k-1}} \frac{1}{\rho^2(t)} dt = k, k \geq 1.$$

Let ψ_k be continuous functions with $\text{supp}(\psi_k) \subseteq (s_k, s_{k-1})$, $k \geq 1$, and

$$0 \leq \psi_k(s) \leq \frac{2}{\rho^2(s)k}, \int_{s_k}^{s_{k-1}} \psi_k(s) ds = 1.$$

Set

$$\phi_k(t) = \int_0^{|t|} \int_0^s \psi_k(\theta) d\theta ds \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$$

Then ϕ_k satisfies

- (i) $\phi_k \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$
- (ii) $0 \leq \phi_k'(t) \leq 1$
- (iii) $0 \leq \phi_k''(t) \leq \frac{2}{k\rho^2(|t|)}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, t \neq 0$
- (iv) $\phi_k(t) \uparrow |t|$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Now define $\bar{\phi}_k : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\bar{\phi}_k(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_k(x_i).$$

Then $\bar{\phi}_k$ has the following properties induced from ϕ_k .

- (i) $\bar{\phi}_k \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$
- (ii) $\nabla \bar{\phi}_k(x) = (\phi_k'(x_1), \dots, \phi_k'(x_n))$,

$$D^2 \bar{\phi}_k(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_k''(x_1) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \phi_k''(x_2) & \cdots & 0 \\ & & \cdots & \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \phi_k''(x_n) \end{bmatrix}$$

- (iii) $\bar{\phi}_k(x) \uparrow \|x\| = |x_1| + \cdots + |x_n|$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Our first result in this section is the following pathwise uniqueness result.

Lemma 2.1. *Assume (A1). Then pathwise uniqueness holds for (2.1).*

Proof. Let $X_i(\cdot)$, $i = 1, 2$ be two solutions of (2.1) with a prescribed Wiener process $W(\cdot)$. Set

$$X(t) = X_1(t) - X_2(t).$$

Note that

$$dX(t) = (\mu(X_1(t)) - \mu(X_2(t))) dt + (\sigma(X_1(t)) - \sigma(X_2(t))) dW(t)$$

Using Ito's formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.2) \quad d\bar{\phi}_k(X(t)) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (\mu_i(X_1(t)) - \mu_i(X_2(t))) \phi_k'(X^i(t)) dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{m}_{ij}(X_1(t), X_2(t)) \phi_k''(X^i(t)) dt \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j=1}^n (\sigma_{ij}(X_1(t)) - \sigma_{ij}(X_2(t))) \phi_k'(X^i(t)) dW_j(t), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(\tilde{m}_{ij}(x, y)) = [\sigma(x) - \sigma(y)][\sigma(x) - \sigma(y)]^\perp.$$

Define

$$\tau_R = \inf\{t > 0 \mid |X_i(t)| \geq R \text{ for some } i \text{ or } \|X(t)\| \geq \epsilon\},$$

and

$$\tau = \inf\{t > 0 \mid \|X(t)\| \geq \epsilon\},$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ is from (A1)(ii). Observe that $\tau_R \rightarrow \tau$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$, since linear growth conditions of the coefficients of the (2.1) guarantees the nonexplosion of any solution of (2.1), see [[9], Theorem 2.4, pp. 163-164]. From (2.2) we have after taking expectation

$$\begin{aligned} (2.3) \quad E\bar{\phi}_k(X(t \wedge \tau_R)) &= \sum_{i=1}^n E \int_0^t (\mu_i(X(s \wedge \tau_R)) - \mu_i(X(s \wedge \tau_R))) \phi'_k(X^i(s \wedge \tau_R)) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n E \int_0^t \tilde{m}_{ij}(X_1(s \wedge \tau_R), X_2(s \wedge \tau_R)) \phi''_k(X^i(s \wedge \tau_R)) ds \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} (2.4) \quad &\left| \sum_{i=1}^n E \int_0^t (\mu_i(X(s \wedge \tau_R)) - \mu_i(X(s \wedge \tau_R))) \phi'_k(X^i(s \wedge \tau_R)) ds \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n E \int_0^t \left| \mu_i(X(s \wedge \tau_R)) - \mu_i(X(s \wedge \tau_R)) \right| \left| \phi'_k(X^i(s \wedge \tau_R)) \right| ds \\ &\leq K_R \int_0^t E \|X(s \wedge \tau_R)\| ds, \end{aligned}$$

where $K_R > 0$ is the Lipschitz constant of μ in $B(0, R)$. Now

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{cases} \left| \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n E \int_0^t \tilde{m}_{ij}(X_1(s \wedge \tau_R), X_2(s \wedge \tau_R)) \phi''_k(X^i(s \wedge \tau_R)) ds \right| \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t n \rho^2(|X^i(s \wedge \tau_R)|) \frac{2}{k \rho^2(|X^i(s \wedge \tau_R)|)} ds \\ \leq \frac{n^2 t}{k}. \end{cases}$$

Substituting (2.4), (2.5) in (2.3) and letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$E\|X(t \wedge \tau_R)\| \leq K_R \int_0^t E\|X(s \wedge \tau_R)\| ds.$$

Using Gronwall's lemma we now have

$$E\|X(t \wedge \tau_R)\| = 0, \text{ for all } t.$$

Letting $R \rightarrow \infty$ and using Fatou's lemma, we get

$$(2.6) \quad X(t \wedge \tau) = 0 \text{ for all } t.$$

To complete the proof, it is enough to show that $\tau = \infty$ a.s. If $P\{\tau < \infty\} > 0$, then there exists $T > 0$ such that $P\{\tau \leq T\} > 0$. Hence it follows from

(2.6) that on $\{\tau \leq T\}$, $X(\tau) = 0$ which is false in view of the definition of τ . Hence $P\{\tau < \infty\} = 0$. This completes the proof. $\square$

We now prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 2.1. *Under the Assumption (A1), the SDE (2.1) has a unique strong solution for all time.*

Proof. In view of Yamada-Watanabe theorem on pathwise uniqueness, [14], [[7], pp.309-310], to show the existence of a unique strong solution, it is sufficient to show the pathwise uniqueness and existence of a weak solution. Lemma 2.1 guarantees the pathwise uniqueness. Since the drift and the diffusion coefficients are continuous and have linear growth, the SDE (2.1) has a weak solution for all time, see [[9], Theorem 2.3, Theorem 2.4, pp.159-164]. This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Remark 2.1. *In the proof of Lemma 2.1 (and hence in the proof of the existence of a unique strong solution to (2.1)), we extended the technique used for one dimension case in [14] to the multidimensional case. This extension is based on the componentwise nature of the Assumption (A1)(ii). This technique will fail, if we replace the Assumption (A1)(ii) with*

$$|\sigma_{ij}(x) - \sigma_{ij}(y)| \leq C_R \rho(\|x - y\|)$$

for all $x, y \in B(0, R)$, with $\|x - y\| \leq \epsilon$.

We now present an example satisfying the Assumption (A1).

Example 2.1. *Consider the SDE*

$$\begin{cases} dX_i(t) &= \mu_i(X(t)) dt + |X_i(t)|^\beta \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_{ij}(X_i(t)) dW_j(t) \\ X(0) &= x \in \mathbb{R}^n, i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \end{cases}$$

where $\frac{1}{2} \leq \beta \leq 1$ and μ_i, σ_{ij} are Lipschitz continuous. Then by Theorem 2.1, the above SDE has unique strong solution.

3. SDE IN POSITIVE ORTHRANT

In this section, we study the sde (2.1) in the positive orthrant. Under certain assumptions, we show that any solution starting from any point in the positive orthrant of the sde remains in the positive orthrant for all time.

We introduce some notation before stating the assumptions.

Let $\rho_1 : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a strictly increasing function satisfying $\rho_1(0) = 0$ and $\int_{0+} \frac{1}{\rho_1^2(s)} ds = \infty$.

Define $p_i : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $a_i : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $b_i : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ by

$$p_i(x) = x_i, a_i(x) = m_{ii}(x), b_i(x) = \frac{\mu_i(x)}{a_i(x)},$$

where $(m_{ij}(x)) = \sigma(x)\sigma(x)^\perp$. Also define

$$a_i^+(r) = \sup_{x:p_i(x)=r} a_i(x), b_i^-(r) = \inf_{x:p_i(x)=r} b_i(x).$$

We are now ready to state our assumptions.

(A2) The functions μ, σ are continuous with linear growth. Also $a_i^+(r) < \infty$ for all $r > 0$.

(A3)(i) The function $\mu_i(x) > 0$ for all x in a nbd of $\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n$, say $\mathcal{D}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

(ii) The functions $b_i^-, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ satisfies

$$b_i^-(r) > \frac{1}{r} \text{ for all } r > 0.$$

(iii) There exists a function $\tilde{\sigma} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$m_{ii}(x) \leq n^3 \tilde{\sigma}^2(p_i(x)) \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{D}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

where $\tilde{\sigma}$ satisfies the following : there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ and a $C_R^1 > 0$ which depends on R such that for $r_1, r_2 \in [0, R]$ with $|r_1 - r_2| \leq \epsilon$

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{\sigma}^2(r_1) - \tilde{\sigma}^2(r_2)| &\leq C_R^1 |r_1 - r_2|, \\ |\sqrt{r_1} \tilde{\sigma}(r_1) - \sqrt{r_2} \tilde{\sigma}(r_2)| &\leq C_R^1 \rho_1(|r_1 - r_2|), \end{aligned}$$

We are now ready to state and prove the main theorem of our article.

Theorem 3.1. Assume (A2) and (A3). Let $X(\cdot) = (X_1(\cdot), \dots, X_n(\cdot))$ be a solution to (2.1) with the initial condition $X_i(0) = x_i > 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then with probability 1, paths of $X_i(\cdot)$ are positive, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Proof. Fix i . Consider the process $\hat{X}(\cdot)$ satisfying

$$d\hat{X}(t) = \mu(\hat{X}(t)) dt + \hat{\sigma}(\hat{X}(t)) dW(t),$$

where $\hat{\sigma}$ satisfies

$$\hat{\sigma}(x) = \begin{cases} \sigma(x) & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{D} \\ \tilde{\sigma}(p_i(x)) & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{D}_1^c \end{cases}$$

for a nbd $\mathcal{D}_1$ of $\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n$ such that $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_1$ and $\hat{\sigma}$ satisfies

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \hat{\sigma}_{ik}(x) \hat{\sigma}_{ki}(x) \leq n^3 \tilde{\sigma}^2(p_i(x)) \text{ for } x \in \mathcal{D}_1 \setminus \mathcal{D}.$$

Such a $\hat{\sigma}$ can be constructed as follows: Using Urysohn's lemma, there exists a continuous function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $f(x) = 0$ on $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$ and $f(x) = 1$ on $\mathcal{D}_1^c$. Now choose

$$\hat{\sigma}(x) = (1 - f(x))\sigma(x) + f(x)\tilde{\sigma}(p_i(x)), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

Note that the process $\hat{X}(\cdot)$ given above and the process $X(\cdot)$ given by (2.1) behave identically in some nbd of $\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n$. Hence without the loss of generality we can assume that $\sigma = \hat{\sigma}$.

Set

$$\tau = \inf\{t \geq 0 \mid X(s) \in \partial\mathbb{R}_+^n\}$$

and define

$$\phi(t) = \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} \frac{a_i(X(s))}{a_i^+(p_i(X(s)))} ds,$$

Then ϕ is pathwise smooth, strictly increasing and $\phi(0) = 0$. Let $\psi = \phi^{-1}$. Set $Y_i(t) = X_i(\psi(t))$. Using the time change of Brownian motion arguments as in [[9], pp. 183-185], we can show that $Y(\cdot) := (Y_1(\cdot), \dots, Y_n(\cdot))$ satisfies

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} dY_i(t) = \frac{a_i^+(p_i(Y(t)))}{a_i(Y(t))} \mu_i(Y(t)) dt \\ \quad + \sqrt{\frac{a_i^+(p_i(Y(t)))}{a_i(Y(t))}} \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_{ij}(Y(t)) d\bar{W}_j(t) \end{cases}$$

for some Brownian motion $\bar{W}(\cdot)$.

Using Ito's formula to $p_i(\cdot)$ and the process (3.1), we obtain

$$\begin{cases} dp_i(Y(t)) = \frac{a_i^+(p_i(Y(t)))}{a_i(Y(t))} \mu_i(Y(t)) dt \\ \quad + \sqrt{\frac{a_i^+(p_i(Y(t)))}{a_i(Y(t))}} \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_{ij}(Y(t)) d\bar{W}_j(t) \end{cases}$$

i.e.,

$$(3.2) \quad dp_i(Y(t)) = a_i^+(p_i(Y(t))) b(Y(t)) dt + \sqrt{a_i^+(p_i(Y(t)))} d\tilde{W}(t),$$

for some one-dimensional Brownian motion $\tilde{W}(\cdot)$.

Now consider the following SDE

$$(3.3) \quad dZ(t) = \frac{a_i^+(Z(t))}{Z(t)} dt + \sqrt{a_i^+(Z(t))} d\tilde{W}(t).$$

By applying Ito's formula we can see that $Z(\cdot)$ is a solution to (3.3) iff $Z_1(\cdot)$ is a solution to

$$(3.4) \quad dZ_1(t) = 3a_i^+(\sqrt{Z_1(t)}) dt + 2\sqrt{Z_1(t)} \sqrt{a_i^+(\sqrt{Z_1(t)})} d\tilde{W}(t).$$

Using (A3)(iii), we have

$$a_i^+(r) = n^3 \tilde{\sigma}^2(r), \quad r > 0.$$

Observe that the equation (3.4) satisfies all the assumptions in the Theorem 2.1. Thus (3.4) has a unique strong solution. As a consequence the equation (3.3) will also have a unique strong solution for all time.

Now take

$$b^1(r) = a_i^+(r) b^-(r), \quad b^2(r) = \frac{a^+(r)}{r}.$$

Then

$$b^1(r) > b^2(r), \quad \text{for all } r > 0$$

and

$$a^+(p_i(Y(t))b(Y(t))) \geq b^1(p_i(Y(t))).$$

Here note that $b^1(0)$ may or may not be equal to $b^2(0)$. If $b^1(0) > b^2(0)$, then a direct application of comparison theorem, see [9], p.437, we have

$$(3.5) \quad Z(t) \leq p_i(Y(t)) \text{ a.s.}$$

If $b^1(0) = b^2(0)$, then apply comparison theorem up to the stopping time $\eta_n = \inf\{t > 0 \mid p_i(Y(t)) \leq \frac{1}{n} \text{ or } Z(t) \leq \frac{1}{n}\}$ and let $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have (3.5).

Set $M(t) = \log Z(t)$. Then Ito's formula implies that

$$dM(t) = \frac{1}{Z(t)} \sqrt{a^+(Z(t))} d\tilde{W}(t)$$

i.e. for $\eta = \sup\{s \geq 0 \mid |M(s)| < \infty\}$, $M(t) = \log Z(t)$ defines a local Martingale in $[0, \eta)$. Now using Corollary 34.13 of [[10], p.67], on $\{\eta < \infty\}$ we have

$$\overline{\lim}_{t \uparrow \eta} M(t) = \infty$$

i.e.

$$\overline{\lim}_{t \uparrow \eta} Z(t) = \infty.$$

Now since (3.3) has a unique strong solution for all $t \geq 0$, we have $\{\eta < \infty\}$ has probability 0. That is $Z(t) > 0$ a.s., for all $t \geq 0$. Hence from (3.5) it follows that $Y_i(\cdot) > 0$ a.s. $\square$

4. MULTIDIMENSIONAL VARIANT OF BESSEL PROCESS

In this section we prove the existence of unique strong solution to the SDE

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{cases} dX_i(t) &= \mu_i(X(t)) dt + \sqrt{|X_i(t)|} \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_{ij}(X(t)) dW_j(t) \\ X(0) &= x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n, \end{cases}$$

The SDE (4.1) can be seen as the multidimensional variant of the Bessel process given by

$$dX(t) = c dt + 2\sqrt{|X(t)|} dW(t), \quad X(0) = x \geq 0.$$

Positive Diffusion processes play a central role in Mathematical finance due to the arbitragefree nature of it, see for example [4]. The equation (4.1) is studied in [1, 2] in connection with super-Markov chains. Pathwise uniqueness is posed as an open problem in [1]. Pathwise uniqueness results for the SDE with non-Lipschitz coefficients are very limited in the multidimensional case. Fang and Zhang in [5] provided pathwise uniqueness results for a general class of SDEs. But these results can not be applied for SDEs whose coefficients are Holder continuous. Thus (4.1) can not be studied using the results in [5]. Our object in this section is to study this equation. We first prove a general theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *Assume that μ is locally Lipschitz in $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ with linear growth and satisfies (A3)(i), (ii). Also assume that σ is locally Lipschitz in $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ with linear growth and satisfies (A3)(iii). Then the SDE (2.1) has a unique strong positive solution.*

Proof. Since the coefficients of the SDE (2.1) are locally Lipschitz continuous with linear growth, using classical result of Ito, existence of unique weak solution for all time and pathwise uniqueness up to hitting time $\tau = \inf\{t > 0 \mid X(t) \in \partial\mathbb{R}_+^n\}$ follows. Now using Theorem 3.1, we have $\tau = \infty$ a.s. Hence the SDE (2.1) has pathwise uniqueness for all time. Hence using Yamada-Watanabe theorem [14], we can conclude the existence of unique strong solution to (2.1) for all time. $\square$

We now study (4.1) and prove the existence and uniqueness in the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2. *Assume that μ is locally Lipschitz with linear growth and satisfies (A3)(i), (ii). Also assume that σ is bounded and locally Lipschitz, then the SDE (4.1) has a unique positive strong solution.*

Proof. In view of Theorem 4.1, it only remains to show that σ satisfies (A3)(iii). Since σ is bounded, locally Lipschitz continuous, we have

$$\begin{aligned} m_{ii}(x) &= \sum_{j=1}^n x_i \sigma_{ij}^2(x) \\ &\leq n K x_i = n^3 \frac{K}{n^2} p_i(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $K > 0$ is a bound for σ . Hence σ satisfies (A3)(iii). $\square$

5. SDE IN UNIT BALL

Our aim in this section is to show that the method developed in Section 3 can be adapted to study equations evolving in domains other than positive orthant. We restrict our attention to a variant of an SDE in unit ball studied by Swart in [12].

Consider the SDE

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{cases} dX(t) &= c(\theta - X(t))dt + \sqrt{2(1 - \|X(t)\|^2)} dW(t) \\ X(0) &= x \in B(0, 1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases}$$

The SDE (5.1) is studied in [12] with $\theta = 0$. He showed the existence of a unique strong solution for $\theta = 0$ and $c \geq 1$.

We study the equation (5.1) for any θ with the assumption that $c(1 - \sqrt{n}|\theta|) \geq 2$.

We now prove our result in the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *Assume $c(1 - \sqrt{n}|\theta|) \geq 2$. Then the SDE (5.1) has unique strong solution. Moreover solution evolves in $B(0, 1)$.*

Proof. In view of the arguments in the proof of Theorem 4.1, it suffices to show that any solution to (5.1) does not hit the boundary of $B(0, 1)$.

Let $X(\cdot)$ be a solution to (5.1) corresponding to the Wiener process $W(\cdot)$. We can assume without the loss of generality that $X(0) = x \neq 0$. Define

$$p(x) = \|x\|^2, \quad a(x) = 8p(x)(1 - p(x))$$

and

$$b(x) = \frac{2[n - (n + c)p(x)] + 2c\theta \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{a(x)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Set

$$a^+(r) = \sup_{p(x)=r} a(x), \quad b^+(r) = \sup_{p(x)=r} b(x), \quad r > 0.$$

Then

$$a^+(r) = 8r(1 - r), \quad b^+(r) = \frac{2[n - (n + c(1 - \sqrt{n}|\theta|))r]}{a^+(r)}.$$

Let $\tau = \inf\{t \geq 0 \mid \|X(t)\| \geq 1\}$ and

$$\phi(t) = \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} \frac{a(X(s))}{a^+(p(X(s)))} ds.$$

Now mimicking the arguments from Theorem 3.1, there exists one dimensional Wiener process $\tilde{W}(\cdot)$ such that $Y(\cdot)$ given by $Y(t) = X(\psi(t))$, $\psi = \phi^{-1}$ satisfies

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{cases} dp(Y(t)) &= a^+(p(Y(t)))b(Y(t))dt + \sqrt{8p(Y(t))(1 - p(Y(t)))}d\tilde{W}(t) \\ p(Y(0)) &= p(x), \end{cases}$$

Consider the SDE

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{cases} dZ(t) &= 2[n - (n + c(1 - \sqrt{n}|\theta|))Z(t)]dt + \sqrt{8Z(t)(1 - Z(t))}d\tilde{W}(t) \\ Z(0) &= p(x) \in (0, 1), \end{cases}$$

Since $\sqrt{8x(1 - x)}$ is Holder continuous with exponent $\frac{1}{2}$, the SDE (5.3) has unique strong solution. Also note that for $n \geq 2$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^x e^{-2 \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^y \frac{2[n - (n + c(1 - \sqrt{n}|\theta|))u]}{8u(1 - u)} du} dy &= 2^{\frac{c(\sqrt{n}|\theta| - 1) - n}{2}} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^x y^{-\frac{n}{2}} (1 - y)^{-\frac{c(1 - \sqrt{n}|\theta|)}{2}} dy \\ &= \infty \end{aligned}$$

By [[7], Proposition 5.22, p. 345], we have $0 < Z(t) < 1$ a.s. for t . Now note that

$$a^+(p(y))b(y) \leq a^+(p(y))b^+(p(y)) = 2[n - (n + c(1 - \sqrt{n}|\theta|))p(y)].$$

Hence using comparison theorem, [[9], p. 437], we have

$$(5.4) \quad p(Y(t)) \leq Z(t) < 1 \text{ a.s } \forall t$$

i.e $\|Y(t)\| < 1$ a.s. for all t . Hence the process $X(\cdot)$ never hits the boundary of $B(0, 1)$.

□

Remark 5.1. From (5.4), the process $X(\cdot)$ given by SDE (5.1) can be in boundary only when the process $Z(\cdot)$ given by (5.3) is at 1. Hence by looking at the behaviour of $Z(\cdot)$ at 1, we can get a sufficient condition for the process $X(\cdot)$ spending zero time on the boundary. Now we can apply the argument of [12] to show the pathwise uniqueness of (5.1) under the case when (5.1) spends zero time on the boundary.

6. CONCLUSION

In this article, we prove the existence of a unique strong solution for a class of multidimensional SDEs with non-Lipschitz diffusion coefficients. The analogous result for the one-dimensional case was known for a long time but the multidimensional version wasn't available. We prove the result under the assumptions (A2) and (A3). The proofs of our results are based on comparison theorem arguments. We believe that it is for the first time that comparison theorems are exploited to derive such results.

Acknowledgements. I would like thank Gopal K. Basak for pointing out an error in a preliminary draft of this paper. I also wish to thank Mrinal K. Ghosh and K. S. M. Rao for giving me useful suggestions to improve the writeup.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. R. Athreya, M. T. Barlow, R. F. Bass and E. A. Perkins, Degenerate stochastic differential equations and super-Markov chains, *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields*, 123, pp. 484-500, 2002.
- [2] R. F. Bass and E. A. Perkins, Degenerate stochastic differential equations with holder continuous coefficients and Super-Markov chains, *Transactions of AMS*, Vol.355, No.1, pp. 373-405, 2002.
- [3] A. S. Cherny and Hans-Jurgen Engelbert, *Singular stochastic differential equations*, Lecture notes in Mathematics, 1858, Springer, Berlin, 2005.
- [4] F. Delbaen and H. Shirakawa, No arbitrage condition for positive Diffusion processes, *Asia-Pacific Financial Markets*, 9, pp. 159-168, 2002.
- [5] S. Fang and T. Zhang, A study of a class of stochastic differential equations with non-Lipschitzian coefficients, *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields*, 132, pp. 356-390, 2005.
- [6] A. Going-Jaeschke, M. Yor, *A survey and some generalizations of Bessel processes*, *Bernoulli*, 9 (2003), pp. 313-349.
- [7] I. Karatzas, S. E. Shreve, *Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
- [8] N. V. Krylov, On some estimates of the distribution of stochastic integrals, *Izv. Akad. Nank SSSR Ser. Math.* 38 , pp. 228-248, 1974.
- [9] N. Ikeda, S. Watanabe, *Stochastic differential equations and diffusion processes*, North-Holland Publishing Company, 1981.
- [10] L. C. G. Rogers, D. Williams, *Diffusions, Markov processes and Martingales*, Vol. 2, 2nd Edition, Cambridge University Press, 2000.
- [11] D. W. Stroock and S. R. S. Varadhan, Diffusion processes with continuous coefficients, I, II, *Communications in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 22, 345-400, 479-530, 1969.

- [12] Swart, J. Pathwise uniqueness for a SDE with non-Lipschitz coefficients, Stoch. Proc. Appl., 98, pp.131-149, 2002.
- [13] A. Ju. Veratennikov, On the strong solution of stochastic differential equations, Theory Probab. Appl., pp.354-366, 1979.
- [14] T. Yamada and S. Watanabe, On the uniqueness of stochastic differential equations, J. Math Kyoto Univ., 1971, 11(1), pp.155-167.
- [15] A. K. Zvonkin, A transformation of the phase space of a diffusion process that will remove the drift, Mat. Sb., 93(135), pp. 129-149, 1974.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY BOMBAY, MUMBAI-400076, INDIA.

E-mail address: `suresh@math.iitb.ac.in`